



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_CA_5783
Title of the Manuscript:	A systematic review and meta-analysis of the incidence of paravalvular leak incidence post procedure, six months and one-year following TAVI
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This review manuscript is very interesting, giving the readers not only the potential risk of PVR after TAVI but the relationship between PVR and the mortality after TAVI. It could contribute to develop a more refined artificial valve.	We thank the reviewer for these kind and considered comments.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	What's MCV in Line 149? What's PAR in line 150?	Apologies for this, this should be Medtronic CoreValve Apologies for the use of this abbreviation; this is paraaortic regurgitation and will be changed to PVR
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		